

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		<u></u>	•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,934	12/08/2000	W. Thomas Novak	371922004400	9819
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315			EXAMINER	
			WELLS, NIKITA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	
•			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/731,934	NOVAK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
,	Nikita Wells	2881
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover she t with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	*	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2000</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been received in Received.	tion No ed in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 052404	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Application/Control Number: 09/731,934

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-36 of copending Application No. 09/796,641. This is a <u>provisional</u> statutory-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-36 of the Application are identical to claims 1-36 of the copending application. The claims of both applications disclose a stage positioning system for an electron beam or EUV light lithography system comprising: a stationary frame configured to support an article to be processed; a slide movable relative to the stationary frame, a support platform, and a first and second linear motor, wherein elements of the stage positioning system have the magnetic permeability remain essentially stationary during processing of the article.

Conclusion

3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/731,934

Art Unit: 2881

Page 3

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikita Wells whose telephone number is (571) 272-2484. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The central fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Nikita Wells, Primary Examiner Art Unit 2881

May 24, 2004